



RECEIVED
CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 10 2005

STATE OF ILLINOIS
Pollution Control Board

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
STATE OF ILLINOIS

Lisa Madigan
ATTORNEY GENERAL

March 7, 2005

The Honorable Dorothy Gunn
Illinois Pollution Control Board
James R. Thompson Center, Ste. 11-500
100 West Randolph
Chicago, Illinois 60601

Re: ***People v. Village of North City, et al***
PCB No. 05-96

Dear Clerk Gunn:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and ten copies of a NOTICE OF FILING, MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT in regard to the above-captioned matter. Please file the originals and return file-stamped copies of the documents to our office in the enclosed self-addressed, stamped envelope.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Kristen Laughridge".

Kristen Laughridge
Environmental Bureau
500 South Second Street
Springfield, Illinois 62706
(217) 782-9031

KL/pp
Enclosures

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

RECEIVED
CLERK'S OFFICE

MAR 10 2005

STATE OF ILLINOIS
Pollution Control Board

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF)
ILLINOIS,)
)
Complainant,)
)
vs.)
)
VILLAGE OF NORTH CITY, an)
Illinois municipal corporation,)
)
Respondent.)

PCB No. 05-96
(Enforcement)

NOTICE OF FILING

To: Gary Szczeblewski
Attorney at Law
111 E. Franklin Avenue
P.O. Box 564
Sesser, IL 62884


PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on this date I mailed for filing with the Clerk of the Pollution Control Board of the State of Illinois, a MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT, a copy of which is attached hereto and herewith served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

LISA MADIGAN,
Attorney General of the
State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief
Environmental Enforcement/Asbestos
Litigation Division

BY: 
KRISTEN LAUGHRIDGE
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Bureau

500 South Second Street
Springfield, Illinois 62706
217/782-9031
Dated: March 7, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I did on March 7, 2005, send by First Class Mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, by depositing in a United States Post Office Box a true and correct copy of the following instruments entitled NOTICE OF FILING, MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT:


To: Gary Szczeblewski
Attorney at Law
111 E. Franklin Avenue
P.O. Box 564
Sesser, IL 62884

and the original and ten copies by First Class Mail with postage thereon fully prepaid of the same foregoing instrument(s):

To: Dorothy Gunn, Clerk
Illinois Pollution Control Board
James R. Thompson Center
Suite 11-500
100 West Randolph
Chicago, Illinois 60601

A copy was also sent by First Class Mail with postage thereon fully prepaid to:

Carol Webb
Hearing Officer
Illinois Pollution Control Board
1021 North Grand Avenue East
Springfield, IL 62794


Kristen Laughridge
Assistant Attorney General

This filing is submitted on recycled paper.

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,)
)
Complainant,)
)
v.)
)
VILLAGE OF NORTH CITY, an)
Illinois municipal corporation,)
)
Respondent.)

PCB NO. 05-96
(Enforcement)

RECEIVED
CLERK'S OFFICE
MAR 10 2005
STATE OF ILLINOIS
Pollution Control Board

MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT

NOW COMES Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, and pursuant to Section 31(c)(2) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2002), moves that the Illinois Pollution Control Board grant the parties in the above-captioned matter relief from the hearing requirement imposed by Section 31(c)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(1) (2002). In support of this motion, Complainant states as follows:


1. On November 17, 2004, Complainant filed a Complaint with the Board, alleging violations by the Respondent of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act and Board Regulations.
2. The parties have reached agreement on all outstanding issues in this matter.
3. This agreement is presented to the Board in a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, filed contemporaneously with this motion.
4. All parties agree that a hearing on the Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement is not necessary, and respectfully request relief from such a hearing as allowed by Section 31(c)(2) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2002).

WHEREFORE, Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, hereby requests that the Board grant this motion for relief from the hearing requirement set forth in Section 31(c)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(1) (2002).

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS
LISA MADIGAN
ATTORNEY GENERAL

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief
Environmental Enforcement/Asbestos
Litigation Division

BY: 
KRISTEN LAUGHRIDGE
Environmental Bureau
Assistant Attorney General

500 South Second Street
Springfield, Illinois 62706
217/782-9031
Dated: March 7, 2005

RECEIVED
CLERK'S OFFICE

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD **MAR 10 2005**

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,)
)
)
Complainant,)
)
)
)
)
v.)
)
)
)
VILLAGE OF NORTH CITY, an)
Illinois municipal corporation,)
)
Respondent.

STATE OF ILLINOIS
Pollution Control Board

PCB 05-96
(Enforcement)

STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT

Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), and Village of North City ("Respondent"), have agreed to the making of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement ("Stipulation") and submit it to the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") for approval. The parties agree that the statement of facts contained herein represents a fair summary of the evidence and testimony which would be introduced by the parties if a hearing were held. The parties further stipulate that this statement of facts is made and agreed upon for purposes of settlement only and that neither the fact that a party has entered into this Stipulation, nor any of the facts stipulated herein, shall be

introduced into evidence in any other proceeding regarding the claims asserted in the Complaint except as otherwise provided herein. If the Board approves and enters this Stipulation, Respondent agrees to be bound by the Stipulation and Board Order and not to contest their validity in any subsequent proceeding to implement or enforce their terms.

I. JURISDICTION

The Board has jurisdiction of the subject matter herein and of the parties consenting hereto pursuant to the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/1 et seq. (2002).

II. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned representatives for each party certify that they are fully authorized by the party whom they represent to enter into the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and to legally bind them to it.

III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Parties

1. On November 17, 2004, a Complaint was filed on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois by Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, on her own motion and upon the

request of the Illinois EPA, pursuant to Section 31 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(2002), against the Respondent.

2. The Illinois EPA is an administrative agency of the State of Illinois, created pursuant to Section 4 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/4 (2002).

3. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent was and is an Illinois municipal corporation.

B. Site Description

At all times relevant to the Complaint, the Village of North City in Franklin County, Illinois, had additions to its drinking water and wastewater systems designed and constructed. The water main installed in 1999 was not adequately separated from a sewer force main installed in 1992. Additionally, the sewage force main and a sewage lift station were not included within the 1992 permit's final plans and specifications submitted to the Illinois EPA.

C. Allegations of Non-Compliance

Complainant contends that the Respondent has violated the following provisions of the Act and Board regulations:

Count I: Improper sewer construction, in violation of
 Section 12(c) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(c) (2002),
 and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 309.202(a).

Count II: Improper water main construction, in violation of Section 18(a) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/18(a) (2002), and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 602.101(b) and 653.119(b).

D. Admission of Violations

The Respondent admits to the violations alleged in the Complaint filed in this matter and referenced within Section III.C herein.

IV. APPLICABILITY

This Stipulation shall apply to and be binding upon the Complainant and the Respondent, and any officer, director, agent, or employee of the Respondent, as well as any successors or assigns of the Respondent. The Respondent shall not raise as a defense to any enforcement action taken pursuant to this Stipulation the failure of any of its officers, directors, agents, employees or successors or assigns to take such action as shall be required to comply with the provisions of this Stipulation.

V. COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS AND REGULATIONS

This Stipulation in no way affects the responsibilities of the Respondent to comply with any other federal, state or local laws or regulations including, but not limited to, the Act and the Board regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code, Subtitles A through H.

VI. IMPACT ON THE PUBLIC RESULTING FROM ALLEGED NON-COMPLIANCE

Section 33(c) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/33(c) (2002), provides as follows:

In making its orders and determinations, the Board shall take into consideration all the facts and circumstances bearing upon the reasonableness of the emissions, discharges, or deposits involved including, but not limited to:

1. the character and degree of injury to, or interference with the protection of the health, general welfare and physical property of the people;
2. the social and economic value of the pollution source;
3. the suitability or unsuitability of the pollution source to the area in which it is located, including the question of priority of location in the area involved;
4. the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating the emissions, discharges or deposits resulting from such pollution source; and
5. any subsequent compliance.

In response to these factors, the parties state the following:

1. Human health and the environment were threatened by the Respondent's violations.
2. There are social and economic benefits as to the sewer and water systems.

3. The sewer and water systems are suitable for the area in which such were installed.

4. Adequate sewer/water separation, obtaining a permit prior to construction and compliance with its terms are both technically practicable and economically reasonable.

5. The Respondent has subsequently come into compliance.

VII. CONSIDERATION OF SECTION 42(h) FACTORS

Section 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(h) (2002), provides as follows:

In determining the appropriate civil penalty to be imposed under . . . this Section, the Board is authorized to consider any matters of record in mitigation or aggravation of penalty, including but not limited to the following factors:

1. the duration and gravity of the violation;
2. the presence or absence of due diligence on the part of the respondent in attempting to comply with requirements of this Act and regulations thereunder or to secure relief therefrom as provided by this Act;
3. any economic benefits accrued by the respondent because of delay in compliance with requirements, in which case the economic benefits shall be determined by the lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance;
4. the amount of monetary penalty which will serve to deter further violations by the respondent and to otherwise aid in enhancing voluntary compliance with this Act by the respondent and other persons similarly subject to the Act;

5. the number, proximity in time, and gravity of previously adjudicated violations of this Act by the respondent;
6. whether the respondent voluntarily self-disclosed, in accordance with subsection i of this Section, the non-compliance to the Agency; and
7. whether the respondent has agreed to undertake a "supplemental environmental project," which means an environmentally beneficial project that a respondent agrees to undertake in settlement of an enforcement action brought under this Act, but which the respondent is not otherwise legally required to perform.

In response to these factors, the parties state as follows:

1. The Respondent failed to include the sewer force main and a sewage lift station within the final plans and specifications for the sewer system improvements of the 1992 permit issued by the Illinois EPA. In 1999, the water main was installed without adequate separation from the sewage force main and sewage lift station. Both violations were of a serious nature, as the lack of separation between the drinking water and sewage systems pose a risk to consumers should the subject water and sewer mains leak.

2. Compliance was achieved upon discovery of the violation.

3. No economic benefit was accrued.

4. Complainant has determined, based upon the specific facts of this matter, that a penalty of five hundred dollars

(\$500.00) will serve to deter further violations and aid in future voluntary compliance with the Act and Board regulations.

5. Respondent has no prior adjudicated violations of the Act and Board regulations.

6. Self-disclosure is not at issue in this matter

7. The settlement of this matter does include a supplemental environmental project (SEP). The SEP is discussed below in Section VIII.A.

VIII. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

A. Supplemental Environmental Project

1. In order to promote the goals of the Act to restore, protect and enhance the quality of the environment, Respondent shall perform the following supplemental environmental project ("SEP"). The value of the SEP is five hundred dollars (\$500.00).

The parties agree that this SEP consists of a monetary donation of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) to the Benton School District Greening Program. The Respondent shall pay the donation to the Benton School District Greening Program within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation. The SEP described in this Stipulation shall be paid by certified check, money order or electronic funds transfer payable to the Benton Consolidated High School and submitted to:

Benton Consolidated High School
Attn: Kathy Simmons

511 East Main Street
Benton, IL 62812

A copy of the certified check, money order or record of
electronic funds transfer and any transmittal letter shall be
sent to:

Ms. Kristen Laughridge
Environmental Bureau
Attorney General's Office
500 South Second Street
Springfield, Illinois 62702

Ms. Joey Logan-Wilkey
Assistant Counsel
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
1021 North Grand Avenue East
P.O. Box 19276
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

Penalty Payment

1. The Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the sum of
five hundred dollars (\$500.00) within thirty (30) days from the
date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation. The penalty
described in this Stipulation shall be paid by certified check,
money order or electronic funds transfer payable to the Illinois
EPA, designated to the Illinois Environmental Protection Trust
Fund and submitted to:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
Fiscal Services Section
1021 North Grand Avenue East
P.O. Box 19276
Springfield, IL 62794-9276

The name and number of the case and Respondent's Federal Employer Identification Number (FEIN), 37-1023876, shall appear on the check. A copy of the certified check, money order or record of electronic funds transfer and any transmittal letter shall be sent to:

Ms. Kristen Laughridge
Environmental Bureau
Attorney General's Office
500 South Second Street
Springfield, Illinois 62702

Ms. Joey Logan-Wilkey
Assistant Counsel
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
1021 North Grand Avenue East
P.O. Box 19276
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

2. Pursuant to Section 42(g) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(g) (2002), interest shall accrue on any payment not paid within the time period prescribed above at the maximum rate allowable under Section 1003(a) of the Illinois Income Tax Act, 35 ILCS 5/1003 (2002). Interest on any unpaid payment shall begin to accrue from the date the payment is due and continue to accrue until the date payment is received.

3. For purposes of payment and collection, Respondent may be reached at the following address:

Mr. Gary Szczeblewski
Attorney at Law
111 E. Franklin Ave.
P.O. Box 564
Sesser, IL 62884

4. In the event of default of this Section VIII.A, the Complainant shall be entitled to all available relief including, but not limited to, reasonable costs of collection and reasonable attorney's fees.

B. Compliance Plan

The site has since been brought into compliance by the Respondent.

C. Future Use

Notwithstanding any other language in this Stipulation to the contrary, and in consideration of the mutual promises and conditions contained in this Stipulation, including the Release from Liability contained in Section VIII.E, below, the Respondent hereby agrees that this Stipulation may be used against the Respondent in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding as proof of a past adjudication of violation of the Act and the Board Regulations promulgated thereunder for all violations alleged in the Complaint in this matter, for purposes of Section 39(a) and (i) and/or 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/39(a) and(i) and/or 5/42(h) (2002). Further, Respondent agrees to waive any rights to contest, in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding, any allegations that these alleged violations were adjudicated.

D. Cease and Desist

The Respondent shall cease and desist from future violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint as outlined in Section III.C ("Allegations of Non-Compliance") of this Stipulation.

E. Release from Liability

In consideration of the Respondent's payment of the \$500.00 SEP, \$500.00 penalty and any specified costs and accrued interest, completion of all activities required hereunder, to Cease and Desist as contained in Section VIII.D and upon the Pollution Control Board's acceptance and approval of the terms of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, the Complainant releases, waives and discharges the Respondent from any further liability or penalties for violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint herein. The release set forth above does not extend to any matters other than those expressly specified in Complainant's Complaint. The Complainant reserves, and this Stipulation is without prejudice to, all rights of the State of Illinois against the Respondent with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:

- a. criminal liability;
- b. liability for future violation of state, federal, local, and common laws and/or regulations;

c. liability for natural resources damage arising out of the alleged violations; and

d. liability or claims based on the Respondent's failure to satisfy the requirements of this Stipulation.

Nothing in this Stipulation is intended as a waiver, discharge, release, or covenant not to sue for any claim or cause of action, administrative or judicial, civil or criminal, past or future, in law or in equity, which the State of Illinois or the Illinois EPA may have against any person, as defined by Section 3.315 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/3.315, or entity other than the Respondent.

F. Enforcement of Board Order

1. Upon the entry of the Board's Order approving and accepting this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, that Order is a binding and enforceable order of the Illinois Pollution Control Board and may be enforced as such through any and all available means.

2. Respondent agrees that notice of any subsequent proceeding to enforce the Board Order approving and accepting this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement may be made by mail and waives any requirement of service of process.

3. The parties agree that, if the Board does not approve and accept this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, then neither party is bound by the terms herein.


4. It is the intent of the Complainant and Respondent that the provisions of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement and any Board Order accepting and approving such shall be severable, and should any provision be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be inconsistent with state or federal law, and therefore unenforceable, the remaining clauses shall remain in full force and effect.

WHEREFORE, Complainant and Respondent request that the Board adopt and accept the foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as written.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,


LISA MADIGAN
Attorney General
State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief
Environmental Enforcement/
Asbestos Litigation Division

BY: 
THOMAS DAVIS, Chief
Environmental Bureau
Assistant Attorney General


DATE: 2/24/05

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY

BY: 
William D. Ingersoll
Acting Chief Legal Counsel

DATE: Feb 23, 2005

VILLAGE OF NORTH CITY

BY: 
Name: PETE MOSCHINO
Title: President of Village Board

DATE: 3-1-05